INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CRIMINOLOGY AND POLICING EDUCATION

https://journals.leedstrinity.ac.uk/index.php/ijpcj

Volume 1, Issue 4, April 2026

Should Operational Police Officers Carry and Administer Naloxone in the United Kingdom

1. Brad Feathers, 2. Katie Oxley, 3. Michael Mathura
1.2.3. Leeds Trinity University, United Kingdom

K.Oxley@leedtrinity.ac.uk

DOI: 10.70386/ijcpe.v2i1.39

Abstract

The rapid escalation of opioid-related mortality across the United Kingdom has intensified debate regarding the role of non-medical first responders in overdose intervention. This study critically examines whether operational police officers in the UK should be authorised to carry and administer naloxone, an opioid antagonist capable of reversing life-threatening respiratory depression. Drawing upon secondary data analysis, peer-reviewed literature, government policy documents, and evaluations of domestic and international police naloxone programmes, the research assesses the issue through legal, ethical, operational, and public health frameworks. The study adopts a structured qualitative thematic analysis of existing evidence, focusing on two principal themes: (1) operational demand and the evolving safeguarding function of policing, and (2) proportionality, legality, and professional boundary considerations. Findings indicate that police officers are frequently first on scene at suspected overdoses and are therefore uniquely positioned to deliver time-critical interventions. Evidence from UK pilot schemes and international implementations demonstrates that naloxone carriage by police is operationally feasible, associated with high survival rates, and generally supported by officers following appropriate training. Concerns relating to role expansion, liability, moral hazard, and resource allocation are identified; however, these are not substantiated by strong empirical evidence demonstrating adverse systemic consequences. The analysis concludes that authorising police officers to carry and administer naloxone is proportionate, ethically defensible, and consistent with the foundational policing duty to preserve life. While naloxone does not address the structural causes of drug

dependency, its capacity to prevent avoidable mortality situates it as a legitimate harm reduction tool within contemporary public health–informed policing models. Policy recommendations include standardised national guidance, enhanced inter-agency collaboration, and continued evaluation to ensure accountability and effectiveness.

Keywords: *Naloxone, opioid overdose, policing, harm reduction, public health, United Kingdom, police reform, operational policing.*

Introduction

Naloxone is a pharmacological opioid antagonist that reverses opioid-induced respiratory and central nervous system depression. It is typically administered intravenously, intramuscularly, or intranasally and functions by competitively binding to opioid receptors, thereby displacing opioid agonists and restoring respiratory function (Dahan et al., 2024; Handel et al., 1983). Since its development, naloxone has been recognised as a safe and effective emergency intervention in cases of opioid overdose. In recent years, its deployment has expanded beyond clinical environments to include first responders such as paramedics, community outreach workers, and increasingly, police officers. In this context, naloxone has emerged as a central harm-reduction tool aligned with emergency services' overarching duty to preserve life.

By July 2024, twenty-nine police forces in the United Kingdom were engaged in naloxone pilot schemes (Preece, 2024), reflecting a growing institutional recognition of the medication's operational value. The expansion of naloxone carriage within policing contexts is underpinned by substantial evidence demonstrating its effectiveness and safety compared to earlier overdose response practices (Evans et al., 1971; Hillen et al., 2022). Naloxone is widely regarded as a critical component of first responder strategies aimed at reducing opioid-related mortality and improving survival outcomes in time-sensitive emergencies.

The backdrop to this policy evolution is the persistent and escalating prevalence of drug misuse within the United Kingdom. Recreational and dependent drug use

constitutes a significant public health challenge and imposes complex demands upon emergency services (College of Policing, 2023). Although police institutions are primarily responsible for enforcing legislation relating to controlled substances, the eradication of drug misuse remains unrealistic. Consequently, contemporary policing strategies increasingly recognise the necessity of safeguarding interventions designed to mitigate harm where prevention alone is insufficient.

Despite rising opioid-related mortality, several UK police forces have historically lacked access to medical countermeasures beyond basic first aid in overdose situations. Research suggests that traditional enforcement-led approaches to drug-related crime are limited in their capacity to address the structural and health-related dimensions of substance misuse (Zhang, 2022). The absence of medical contingencies in overdose scenarios may therefore represent a critical gap in operational preparedness. Such limitations are particularly concerning given that police officers frequently act as first responders to medical emergencies, including drug overdoses.

The societal impact of drug misuse is substantial. The House of Commons (2024) estimates that drug misuse costs the UK economy approximately £20 billion annually, equating to an estimated £350 per individual. Beyond financial implications, the human cost is profound. Approximately three million individuals in the United Kingdom are reported to use illicit drugs, with an estimated 300,000 engaging in habitual opioid use (House of Commons, 2024). Between 2011 and 2021, annual drug-related deaths averaged approximately 3,000, representing an 80% increase over the decade (Conservative Government, 2022). These figures underscore the scale of opioid-related harm and the continued vulnerability of affected populations.

Drug-related mortality is widely regarded as preventable when timely intervention occurs. The persistence of elevated mortality rates raises critical questions regarding the adequacy of existing emergency response frameworks. Considering this context, it is necessary to examine whether police institutions should integrate naloxone carriage into operational practice as part of their safeguarding responsibilities and statutory duty to preserve life.

This study critically examines whether operational police officers in the United Kingdom should be authorised to carry and administer naloxone. The research evaluates the prevalence and impact of opioid misuse, the effectiveness of current emergency response strategies, and the potential benefits and limitations associated with police-administered naloxone.

Particular attention is directed toward police organisations in England, where drug poisoning deaths remain significant (Office for National Statistics, 2024). Although some English Police forces introduced a naloxone pilot scheme, implementation remains limited in scope. By contrast, Police Scotland has incorporated naloxone into standard operational equipment for officers (Police Scotland, 2023), providing a comparative model for evaluation. This study is aimed at investigating attentiveness, procedures, and current police practice in response to opioid overdose and the proportionality and ethical considerations associated with authorising naloxone carriage within operational policing contexts.

The continued occurrence of opioid-induced mortality within the United Kingdom highlights a pressing need for innovative safeguarding strategies. Although no single intervention can eliminate drug-related deaths, the implementation of naloxone within policing contexts may significantly reduce preventable fatalities and enhance emergency response capability.

This research contributes to contemporary debates concerning the evolving role of policing within public health emergencies. Second, it provides organisationally relevant insights for the English Police by evaluating how naloxone integration may strengthen strategic planning and operational effectiveness. Third, the study addresses broader issues of leadership, legitimacy, and institutional empathy within modern policing.

Naloxone has been identified as an effective intervention capable of reducing opioid overdose mortality in policing scenarios (Fisher et al., 2016). Its integration into operational practice may reinforce officers' confidence in responding to life-threatening incidents and enhance perceptions of professional competence. Moreover, visible engagement in life-saving interventions may strengthen public trust by reaffirming

policing's foundational commitment to protecting and serving communities (Green et al., 2013).

As policing increasingly adopts problem-oriented and harm reduction approaches, the authorisation of naloxone carriage represents not merely a medical adaptation but a potential recalibration of institutional priorities toward safeguarding vulnerable populations. This study, therefore, evaluates whether such a development is operationally feasible, ethically justified, and proportionate within the contemporary UK policing landscape.

Methodology

This study adopts a secondary research design to evaluate whether operational police officers in the United Kingdom should be authorised to carry and administer naloxone. The methodological approach was selected to enable a systematic and critical analysis of existing empirical evidence, policy documentation, and statistical datasets relevant to police-administered naloxone. The overarching aim of this design is to assess the proportionality, necessity, and operational implications of naloxone carriage within contemporary UK policing.

Secondary research was deemed appropriate due to the breadth and longitudinal scope of available data relating to drug-related mortality, public health interventions, and policing strategies. Unlike primary research, which may produce contextually rich but geographically and temporally limited findings, secondary methodologies facilitate the examination of extended timeframes and wider comparative variables (Wickham, 2019). Given that opioid-related mortality trends and policing responses evolve across multiple years and jurisdictions, this approach enhances analytical depth and contextual robustness.

The research is underpinned by a pragmatic epistemological stance, recognising the value of integrating both quantitative statistical evidence and qualitative interpretive insights to generate balanced and policy-relevant conclusions. A structured search strategy was employed to identify relevant academic, governmental, and institutional sources. Key search terms included: *"police," "naloxone," "opioid overdose," "drug-related deaths,"* and *"United Kingdom."* These were combined using

Boolean operators to refine search outputs and ensure relevance to the research question. Sources were obtained from: Google Scholar, academic journal databases, Government publications and parliamentary reports, Published pilot evaluations, National statistical datasets, Policy documentation, Freedom of Information (FOI) responses under the Freedom of Information Act 2000. The inclusion criteria prioritised peer-reviewed literature, official government statistics, and empirical evaluations published within the past 15 years, while also incorporating seminal foundational research on naloxone pharmacology and overdose response where necessary.

The use of Freedom of Information requests was particularly significant in contextualising national findings within a localised policing framework. Requests were submitted to British police forces to obtain contemporary data concerning naloxone training, operational deployment, resource allocation, and overdose-related call demand. Although the study relies on secondary data, it incorporates a mixed-methods analytical framework. Quantitative data (e.g., mortality statistics, call demand figures, training numbers) were analysed descriptively to identify trends and prevalence patterns. Qualitative data (e.g., policy rationales, officer perceptions from published studies, organisational strategies) were analysed using thematic analysis.

Thematic analysis was employed to identify recurring patterns grounded in documented experiences and institutional narratives (Sandelowski, 2004; Nowell et al., 2017). This process involved systematic coding, theme development, and interpretive synthesis to ensure analytical transparency and consistency (Nowell et al., 2017). Thematic categorisation allowed the research to align findings with the study's two central research themes:

1. Police attentiveness, procedures, and current practice in overdose incidents.
2. Ethical and proportional considerations surrounding naloxone implementation.

The integration of quantitative and qualitative evidence strengthens methodological rigour (Bryman, 2016). Exclusive reliance on quantitative data would risk overlooking perceptual, ethical, and organisational dimensions central to policing legitimacy. Conversely, purely qualitative inquiry may limit generalisability and

statistical reliability (Bryman, 2016). A mixed-methods approach, therefore, enables more cohesive and policy-relevant inferences (Ivankova & Wingo, 2018). Data-driven research is particularly valuable in policing contexts, where resource allocation and operational planning increasingly depend upon evidence-based forecasting (Clark et al. 2021). By synthesising statistical demand data with interpretive analysis, the study seeks to inform strategic decision-making within British police forces.

To enhance contextual relevance and mitigate reliance on potentially outdated secondary material, Freedom of Information requests were submitted to several British Police forces. The requests sought detailed information regarding: The number of operational officers trained to carry and administer naloxone, Dates of implementation, financial costs of equipping officers, Frequency and outcomes of naloxone deployments, and overdose-related call demand (2019–2024), and fatality rates linked to overdose incidents, Training requirements and integration into mandatory first aid programmes, Distribution of trained officers across districts, and Whether naloxone carriage is limited to specialist roles (e.g., tactical medical units).

These enquiries were designed to establish organisational readiness, operational demand, cost proportionality, and potential service pressures associated with naloxone implementation. However, requests concerning the number of overdose-related calls and fatal incidents between 2019 and 2024 were declined on the basis that compliance would exceed the financial and logistical thresholds established under the Freedom of Information and Data Protection (Appropriate Limit and Fees) Regulations 2004. The absence of this granular demand data limits localised statistical analysis.

As the research relies on secondary data, no direct engagement with human participants occurred, thereby reducing risks relating to informed consent, participant vulnerability, or psychological harm. Secondary research presents distinct ethical considerations. Researchers must ensure compliance with data protection frameworks, including the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), and must critically appraise the provenance and integrity of datasets used. Ethical diligence also requires transparency in source selection to mitigate confirmation bias and selective reporting. Baldwin et al. (2022) caution that secondary research may inadvertently prioritise corroborative evidence, potentially distorting interpretive neutrality. To

mitigate this risk, the study adopted an explicitly balanced analytical approach, evaluating both supportive and critical perspectives regarding police-administered naloxone.

Several limitations are inherent within this research design. First, the reliance on secondary data means that no empirical data were generated. Consequently, contemporary frontline officers' perceptions were not directly captured. Given the rapidly evolving nature of naloxone policy adoption across UK forces, this may limit the immediacy of specific interpretive conclusions. Secondly, the refusal of specific FOI requests restricted access to some overdose call data and fatality statistics. Such data would have strengthened the capacity to quantify operational demand and measure potential impact within a multitude of contexts.

The decision not to conduct primary research was proportionate when considering financial, ethical, and labour-intensive implications (Bryman, 2016). Furthermore, the integration of national datasets and comparative policing models (e.g., Police Scotland) mitigates some limitations associated with local data gaps.

Overall, the selected methodology provides a proportionate and analytically robust framework for evaluating the research question. The combination of longitudinal statistical analysis, thematic synthesis, and localised organisational data enables a comprehensive examination of both operational necessity and ethical proportionality. By situating local policing practice within broader national and international evidence, the methodology supports informed hypothesis development and evidence-based recommendations regarding the authorisation of naloxone carriage by operational police officers in the United Kingdom.

Previous Research

The Epidemiology of Opioid Harm and the Policing Context

Drug misuse remains a persistent and complex public health crisis across contemporary societies. Within the United Kingdom, rates of illicit drug use are among the highest in Western Europe (Fox et al., 2013), and drug-related deaths have

increased significantly over the past decade (Office for National Statistics, 2023). Opioids account for a substantial proportion of these fatalities, with overdose frequently resulting from respiratory depression that is preventable through timely pharmacological intervention. Drug-related mortality is widely classified as avoidable, provided effective emergency responses are available (Department for Work and Pensions, 2024).

Police officers routinely encounter individuals at elevated risk of overdose due to their role as first responders to emergency calls and their regular interaction with vulnerable populations (Banta-Green et al., 2013). Despite this operational reality, traditional policing approaches have historically prioritised enforcement over harm reduction, often leaving officers without adequate tools to intervene in overdose situations beyond basic first aid. Qualitative research indicates that officers frequently report feelings of helplessness when attending overdose incidents, describing limited capacity to act before ambulance arrival (Smiley-McDonald et al., 2022). Such findings suggest a disconnect between the police's duty to preserve life and the tactical resources historically available to fulfil that obligation.

Contemporary policing models increasingly emphasise problem-solving and community-oriented approaches rather than purely enforcement-based strategies (Green et al., 2013). Within this paradigm, harm reduction interventions such as naloxone administration may be viewed as consistent with the evolving identity of policing as a public safety service rather than solely a crime-control agency.

Legal and Policy Foundations for Naloxone Administration

Naloxone has been legally accessible in the United Kingdom since its inclusion in Schedule 19 of the Human Medicines Regulations 2012, permitting administration by non-medical individuals in emergency circumstances (Department of Health and Social Care, 2024). This regulatory framework provides legal grounding for first responders, including police officers, to administer naloxone without a prescription in life-threatening scenarios.

The statutory and moral duty of police officers to preserve life is central to the argument for naloxone carriage. The obligation to provide emergency assistance

parallels established expectations surrounding cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) and defibrillator use (Lurigio et al., 2018). From a procedural justice perspective, visible engagement in life-saving interventions may reinforce public perceptions of legitimacy and fairness (Green et al., 2013). Police institutions are globally recognised as key actors in upholding fundamental human rights, including the right to life (Osse et al., 2011). Consequently, failure to adopt available life-saving interventions may raise normative questions regarding proportionality and institutional responsibility.

National drug policy has increasingly recognised the importance of harm reduction. Dame Carol Black's independent review of drugs concluded that existing prevention and treatment services were inadequate (Black, 2021; Webster, 2021). The subsequent UK Government strategy, *From Harm to Hope*, committed substantial investment toward reducing drug-related deaths and expanding naloxone availability (UK Government, 2021). Within this policy environment, the integration of naloxone into first responder frameworks aligns with broader governmental objectives.

International Evidence: Implementation in the United States

The United States provides extensive empirical evidence regarding police-administered naloxone. Opioid overdose represents the leading cause of accidental death in the U.S., with approximately two-thirds of overdose fatalities involving opioids (Shaw et al., 2020). In response, widespread law enforcement naloxone programmes were implemented. By 2018, over 2,300 law enforcement agencies across 42 states had adopted naloxone carriage (Lurigio et al., 2018).

The proportionality of police-administered naloxone in the U.S. context is partly explained by workforce distribution. Law enforcement officers significantly outnumber emergency medical technicians and paramedics, enabling faster arrival times in overdose incidents (U.S. Department of Transportation, 2012; Lurigio et al., 2018). Empirical evaluations indicate positive outcomes. For example, Gooley et al. (2022) reported that officers administered naloxone on 597 occasions, with 62% of cases resulting in successful overdose reversal. Other studies demonstrate reversal rates exceeding 90% in some jurisdictions (Abdelal et al., 2022).

Officer attitudes toward naloxone are generally supportive. Research indicates that training enhances confidence and increases motivation to administer the medication (Simmons et al., 2016; White et al., 2021). Officers frequently describe naloxone as a “second chance” intervention (Beletsky, 2014; Lurigio et al., 2018). However, concerns persist regarding financial costs, potential liability, and the possibility that widespread availability could encourage riskier drug consumption (Bessen et al., 2019). Doleac and Mukherjee (2018) controversially suggested that expanded naloxone access may increase opioid misuse, although this interpretation remains debated within the broader harm reduction literature. Many policymakers argue that the potential for behavioural adaptation does not outweigh the immediate life-saving benefits (UK Government, 2021).

United Kingdom Pilot Programmes and Emerging Evidence

Within the UK, naloxone implementation has expanded incrementally. Pilot schemes provide insight into feasibility, effectiveness, and officer perceptions. Pilot studies serve as controlled mechanisms to test operational viability before full institutional adoption (Hassan et al., 2006). A prominent example is the national rollout in Scotland, where naloxone was initially deployed voluntarily before becoming standard operational equipment for officers up to inspector rank (Scottish Police Authority & Police Scotland, 2024). Following nationwide implementation, over 12,000 officers were equipped, and hundreds of administrations were recorded, with the majority resulting in successful overdose reversals (Scottish Police Authority & Police Scotland, 2024). These outcomes indicate strong operational feasibility within a UK legal and cultural context.

Qualitative evaluations suggest that officers perceive naloxone as safe, easy to administer, and consistent with their duty to preserve life (Hillen et al., 2022; Speakman et al., 2023). Training has been shown to reduce stigma and increase empathy toward individuals experiencing substance dependency (Murphy & Russell, 2020). Nevertheless, internal organisational resistance has occasionally emerged, including scepticism from influential stakeholders and concerns regarding role expansion (Hillen et al., 2022).

Campbell (2019) situates naloxone within a broader socio-political trajectory, noting that its adoption has historically oscillated between innovation, resistance, and institutional normalisation. This cyclical evolution suggests that resistance within policing contexts may reflect broader cultural tensions rather than evidence-based objections.

Ethical Considerations and Professional Identity

The ethical debate surrounding police-administered naloxone centres on proportionality, professional boundaries, and potential unintended consequences. Critics argue that overdose response should remain within the remit of healthcare professionals. Police officers are not medically qualified, and concerns regarding liability and accountability have been raised (Hillen et al., 2022). In the UK, incidents involving death or serious injury following police contact are subject to independent investigation (College of Policing, 2020), potentially heightening anxiety around clinical interventions. However, officers participating in pilot schemes frequently reject liability concerns, arguing that inaction poses greater ethical and reputational risk (Speakman et al., 2023). Comparative reasoning highlights that police routinely carry equipment with substantially higher risk profiles, such as conducted energy devices, yet accept associated accountability frameworks.

The argument that naloxone acts merely as a “sticking plaster” (Hillen et al., 2022) underscores the distinction between harm reduction and structural prevention. While naloxone does not address underlying addiction, its purpose is immediate life preservation. Harm reduction theory emphasises reducing adverse consequences even when abstinence is not achieved (McAuley et al., 2016). Within this framework, naloxone is viewed not as a solution to drug misuse but as a critical emergency safeguard.

Operational Practicalities and Logistical Considerations

Operational feasibility is influenced by training requirements, storage logistics, and cost implications. Evidence suggests that naloxone training can be delivered within 45–60 minutes, minimising abstraction from core duties. Intranasal formulations are non-invasive and reduce concerns regarding needle-stick injuries (Hillen et al.,

2024; Wolfe & Bernstone, 2004). Additionally, naloxone has a relatively long shelf-life and does not require complex storage conditions, making integration into standard equipment protocols logistically manageable.

Financial considerations remain relevant, particularly in resource-constrained policing environments. However, the broader economic burden of drug-related harm, including emergency responses and investigative processes, may exceed the cost of preventive interventions. Some commentators argue that preventing fatal overdoses may reduce long-term demand on policing and criminal justice resources (Jamieson & Turrell, 2019).

Demand, Role Expansion, and Interagency Dynamics

Policing demand has expanded considerably in recent decades, with officers increasingly responding to health-related crises, including mental health emergencies (Laufs et al., 2020; Marcus & Stergiopoulos, 2022). Critics caution that naloxone carriage may further blur professional boundaries and reinforce reliance on police as default responders.

The “Right Care, Right Person” framework seeks to ensure appropriate agency allocation for mental health crises (College of Policing, 2023). However, overdose incidents typically involve immediate threats to life, necessitating urgent response regardless of agency boundaries. Evidence suggests that the interval between police-administered naloxone and ambulance arrival is often brief, positioning naloxone as a bridging intervention rather than a substitution for medical care (Hillen et al., 2022). Concerns also arise regarding the reluctance among drug users to seek assistance due to fear of criminalisation. In the United States. Good Samaritan laws were enacted to mitigate this barrier by offering legal protection to individuals seeking emergency help (Atkins et al., 2019). The absence of equivalent nationwide protections in the UK may influence perceptions of police involvement.

Harm Reduction in High-Risk Environments

Naloxone’s relevance extends to high-risk settings such as music festivals, where drug consumption is prevalent (Turner & Measham, 2019). Festivals are

recognised as high-risk environments for drug-related harm (Cooney & Measham, 2023), and overdose fatalities continue to occur. Harm reduction strategies in such contexts may require collaborative, multi-agency approaches incorporating naloxone availability.

Summary

The literature demonstrates substantial empirical support for police-administered naloxone as a life-saving intervention. International evidence, particularly from the United States, indicates high reversal rates and positive officer attitudes. UK pilot programmes similarly report operational feasibility and professional endorsement. Nevertheless, ethical tensions persist concerning role boundaries, potential behavioural consequences, and financial proportionality. These debates reflect broader ideological divisions between enforcement-oriented and public health-oriented policing paradigms. Overall, the evidence suggests that naloxone aligns with contemporary models of problem-solving policing and harm reduction. While it does not address the root causes of drug dependency, its demonstrated capacity to prevent avoidable mortality situates it as a proportionate emergency intervention within the broader framework of police responsibility to preserve life.

Conclusion

This study set out to critically evaluate whether operational police officers in the United Kingdom should be authorised to carry and administer naloxone. Through a structured analysis of existing empirical evidence, policy frameworks, and pilot evaluations, the research examined two central themes: (1) the attentiveness, procedures, and current policing practices in response to opioid overdose, and (2) the proportionality and ethical considerations associated with implementing naloxone within operational policing contexts.

The findings demonstrate that opioid-related mortality remains a significant and preventable cause of death within the United Kingdom. Police officers frequently operate within environments where overdose risk is elevated and are often among the first responders to medical emergencies. Despite this operational reality, traditional policing responses to overdose have historically been limited to basic first aid

measures. The literature consistently indicates that naloxone is a clinically effective, safe, and time-sensitive intervention, with reported reversal efficacy frequently ranging between 75% and 100% (Lynn & Galinkin, 2017). International and domestic pilot programmes further reinforce its operational feasibility and demonstrate strong levels of support among trained officers, community stakeholders, and partner agencies.

The study highlighted that naloxone aligns with the contemporary evolution of policing from a predominantly enforcement-oriented institution toward a problem-solving and safeguarding-focused service. Overdose deaths are widely recognised as preventable when rapid intervention occurs. The integration of naloxone, therefore, enhances the police service's capacity to discharge its foundational duty to preserve life. While naloxone does not address the structural determinants of substance dependency, the literature is clear that its function is harm reduction rather than cure. The argument that naloxone is merely a "sticking plaster" fails to negate its ethical justification as an emergency life-saving intervention. Policing is routinely required to manage immediate risks while broader systemic issues are addressed through parallel policy mechanisms.

This study examined proportionality, legality, logistics, and professional boundaries. Concerns regarding liability, role expansion, and financial cost are not insignificant. Police officers are not medical practitioners, and apprehensions relating to post-incident scrutiny are understandable within contemporary accountability frameworks. However, available evidence suggests that such concerns are largely mitigated by existing legal protections permitting naloxone administration in emergencies and by structured training protocols. Importantly, the risk profile of intranasal naloxone is comparatively low, particularly when contrasted with other tactical equipment routinely carried by officers.

Logistical considerations, such as training duration, storage, auditing, and procurement, were found to be operationally manageable. Training requirements are limited in duration, administration is non-invasive, and naloxone does not require complex storage conditions. While universal carriage may not be financially proportionate or operationally necessary, targeted deployment models or partnership-based procurement strategies offer pragmatic alternatives. The evidence does not

suggest that resource implications are insurmountable; rather, they require strategic planning and inter-agency collaboration.

The argument that naloxone availability may increase risk-taking behaviour remains contested within academic discourse. While some research has suggested possible behavioural adaptation effects, the prevailing consensus within harm reduction literature emphasises that the prevention of immediate death outweighs speculative increases in risky behaviour. Moreover, no conclusive evidence demonstrates that police-administered naloxone meaningfully exacerbates opioid misuse. Ethical evaluation must therefore prioritise the tangible and immediate preservation of life over hypothetical deterrence-based concerns.

The study also acknowledged broader systemic tensions regarding policing demand. Police services have increasingly absorbed responsibilities traditionally associated with health and social care sectors. Nevertheless, overdose incidents frequently present an immediate and life-threatening risk, necessitating urgent intervention irrespective of professional boundaries. Naloxone should not be conceptualised as transferring medical responsibility to police, but rather as equipping officers with an interim safeguarding tool pending clinical arrival.

Taken collectively, the evidence indicates that naloxone is a proportionate, ethically defensible, and operationally feasible intervention within UK policing. Its implementation strengthens the police service’s capacity to fulfil its duty to preserve life, enhances officer confidence, and aligns with contemporary public health-informed policing models. While naloxone is not a panacea for drug misuse, its demonstrated efficacy in preventing avoidable mortality provides compelling justification for authorisation.

Future development should focus on standardising national guidance, clarifying legal protections, strengthening inter-agency partnerships, and embedding naloxone training within routine first aid frameworks. Further empirical research would also be beneficial to evaluate long-term outcomes, including demand implications and community perceptions across diverse jurisdictions.

In conclusion, the balance of evidence supports the authorisation of operational police officers in the United Kingdom to carry and administer naloxone. When assessed against principles of necessity, proportionality, and the fundamental policing obligation to preserve life, naloxone emerges not as an optional enhancement but as a logical extension of modern safeguarding practice within contemporary policing.

References

- Abdelal, R., Banerjee, A. R., Carlberg-Racich, S., Darwaza, N., Ito, D., Shoaff, J., & Epstein, J. (2022). Real-world study of multiple naloxone administration for opioid overdose reversal among bystanders. *Harm Reduction Journal, 19*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12954-022-00627-3
- Atkins, D. N., Durrance, C. P., & Kim, Y. (2019). Good Samaritan harm reduction policy and drug overdose deaths. *Health Services Research, 54*(2), 407–416. https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-6773.13119
- Baldwin, J. R., Pingault, J., Schoeler, T., Sallis, H. M., & Munafò, M. R. (2022). Protecting against researcher bias in secondary data analysis: Challenges and potential solutions. *European Journal of Epidemiology, 37*(1), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10654-021-00839-0
- Banta-Green, C. J., Beletsky, L., Schoeppe, J. A., Coffin, P. O., & Kuszler, P. C. (2013). Police officers' and paramedics' experiences with overdose and their knowledge and opinions of Washington State's Drug Overdose–Naloxone–Good Samaritan Law. *Journal of Urban Health, 90*(6), 1102–1111. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11524-013-9814-y
- Beletsky, L. (2014). *Engaging law enforcement in opioid overdose response: Frequently asked questions*. Bureau of Justice Assistance.
- Bessen, S. A., Metcalf, S. A., Saunders, E. C., Moore, S. K., Meier, A., McLeman, B., Walsh, O., & Marsch, L. A. (2019). Barriers to naloxone use and acceptance among opioid users, first responders, and emergency department providers in New Hampshire, USA. *International Journal of Drug Policy, 74*, 144–151. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugpo.2019.09.008
- Black, C. (2021). *Review of drugs: Phase two report*. HM Government.

- Campbell, N. D. (2019). Naloxone as a technology of solidarity: History of opioid overdose prevention. *Canadian Medical Association Journal, 191*(34), E945–E946. https://doi.org/10.1503/cmaj.190257
- Centre for Applied Science and Technology. (2014). *CAST standard for police chemical irritant sprays: CS and PAVA*. Home Office.
- Cleveland Police. (2021). *Naloxone policy (Version 1.1)*.
- Cooney, T., & Measham, F. (2023). Counting and accounting for drug-related deaths at UK music festivals 2017–2023: A commentary. *Drug Science, Policy and Law, 9*. https://doi.org/10.1177/20503245231211444
- Dahan, A., Franko, T. S., Carroll, J. W., Craig, D. S., Crow, C., Galinkin, J. L., Garrity, J. C., Peterson, J., & Rausch, D. B. (2024). Fact vs. fiction: Naloxone in the treatment of opioid-induced respiratory depression in the current era of synthetic opioids. *Frontiers in Public Health, 12*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2024.1346109
- Dahlem, C. H., Granner, J., & Boyd, C. J. (2022). Law enforcement perceptions about naloxone training and its effects post-overdose reversal. *Journal of Addictions Nursing, 33*(2), 80–85.
- Davis, C. S., Carr, D., Southwell, J. K., & Beletsky, L. (2015). Engaging law enforcement in overdose reversal initiatives: Authorization and liability for naloxone administration. *American Journal of Public Health, 105*(8), 1530–1537. https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2015.302638
- Department of Health and Social Care. (2024). *Consultation on expanding access to naloxone*. HM Government.
- Doleac, J., & Mukherjee, A. (2018). *The moral hazard of lifesaving innovations: Naloxone access, opioid abuse, and crime*.
- Evans, J. M., Hogg, M. I. J., Lunn, J. N., & Rosen, M. (1974). Degree and duration of reversal by naloxone of effects of morphine in conscious subjects. *BMJ, 2*(5919), 589–591. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.2.5919.589
- Fisher, R., O'Donnell, D., Ray, B., & Rusyniak, D. (2016). Police officers can safely and effectively administer intranasal naloxone. *Prehospital Emergency Care, 20*(6), 675–680. https://doi.org/10.1080/10903127.2016.1182605

- Fox, T. P., Oliver, G., & Ellis, S. M. (2013). The destructive capacity of drug abuse. *ISRN Addiction, 2013*, 1–6.
- Gooley, B., Weston, B., Colella, M. R., & Farkas, A. (2022). Outcomes of law enforcement officer administered naloxone. *The American Journal of Emergency Medicine, 62*, 25–29.
- Green, T. C., Zaller, N., Palacios, W. R., Bowman, S. E., Ray, M., Heimer, R., & Case, P. (2013). Law enforcement attitudes toward overdose prevention and response. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence, 133*(2), 677–684.
- Handal, K., Schauben, J., & Salamone, F. (1983). Naloxone. *Annals of Emergency Medicine, 12*(7), 438–445.
- Hillen, P., Speakman, E., Dougall, N., Heyman, I., Murray, J., Jamieson, M., Aston, E., & McAuley, A. (2022). *Naloxone in Police Scotland: Pilot evaluation*. Edinburgh Napier University.
- House of Commons Committee of Public Accounts. (2024). *Reducing the harm from illegal drugs (HC72)*.
- Human Medicines Regulations 2012, Schedule 19.
- Human Rights Act 1998.
- Ivankova, N., & Wingo, N. (2018). Applying mixed methods in action research. *American Behavioral Scientist, 62*(7), 978–997.
- Laufs, J., Bowers, K., Birks, D., & Johnson, S. D. (2020). Understanding the concept of 'demand' in policing. *Policing & Society, 31*(8), 895–918.
- Livingstone, I. (2022). Police Scotland commits to national roll-out of life-saving nasal spray. Police Scotland.
- Lurigio, A. J., Andrus, J., & Scott, C. K. (2018). The opioid epidemic and the role of law enforcement officers in saving lives. *Victims & Offenders, 13*(8), 1055–1076.
- Lynn, R. R., & Galinkin, J. (2017). Naloxone dosage for opioid reversal: Current evidence and clinical implications. *Therapeutic Advances in Drug Safety, 9*(1), 63–88.
- Marcus, N., & Stergiopoulos, V. (2022). Re-examining mental health crisis intervention. *Health & Social Care in the Community, 30*(5), 1665–1679.

- McAuley, A., Bouttell, J., Barnsdale, L., Mackay, D., Lewsey, J., Hunter, C., & Robinson, M. (2016). Evaluating the impact of a national naloxone programme. *Addiction, 112*(2), 301–308.
- Murphy, J., & Russell, B. (2020). Police officers' views of naloxone and drug treatment. *Journal of Drug Issues, 50*(4), 455–471.
- Nowell, L. S., Norris, J. M., White, D. E., & Moules, N. J. (2017). Thematic analysis. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods, 16*(1).
- Office for National Statistics. (2024). *Deaths related to drug poisoning in England and Wales (2023 registrations)*.
- Osse, A., et al. (2011). *Handbook on police accountability, oversight and integrity*. United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime.
- Police Scotland. (2023). Police Scotland completes national roll-out of naloxone to officers.
- Scottish Police Authority. (2024). *Naloxone: Public briefing*.
- Shaw, W. S., Roelofs, C., & Punnett, L. (2020). Work environment factors and prevention of opioid-related deaths. *American Journal of Public Health, 110*(8), 1235–1241.
- Smiley-McDonald, H. M., Attaway, P. R., Richardson, N. J., Davidson, P. J., & Kral, A. H. (2022). Perspectives from law enforcement officers who respond to overdose calls for service and administer naloxone. *Health & Justice, 10*(1).
- Speakman, E. M., Hillen, P., Heyman, I., Murray, J., Dougall, N., Aston, E. V., & McAuley, A. (2023). 'I'm not going to leave someone to die': Carriage of naloxone by police in Scotland. *Harm Reduction Journal, 20*(1).
- The Conservative Government. (2021). *From harm to hope: A 10-year drugs plan to cut crime and save lives*. HM Government.
- Wagner, K. D., Bovet, L. J., Haynes, B., Joshua, A., & Davidson, P. J. (2016). Training law enforcement to respond to opioid overdose with naloxone. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence, 165*, 22–28.
- White, M. D., Perrone, D., Malm, A., & Watts, S. (2021). Narcan cops: Officer perceptions of opioid use and willingness to carry naloxone. *Journal of Criminal Justice, 72*, 101778.

- Wickham, R. (2019). Secondary analysis research. *Journal of the Advanced Practitioner in Oncology, 10*(4).
- Wolfe, T. R., & Bernstone, T. (2004). Intranasal drug delivery. *Journal of Emergency Nursing, 30*(2), 141–147.
- Zhang, A., Balles, J. A., Nyland, J. E., Nguyen, T. H., White, V. M., & Zgierska, A. E. (2022). Police contacts for drug use-related crime and future overdoses. *Harm Reduction Journal, 19*(1).